

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

PPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/773,942		01/31/2001	Edward Sean Hoskins	. SEA9462.1/40046.97USU2	9160
23552	7590	10/07/2003		EXAMI	NER
MERCHA	NT & GO	OULD PC	ELAMIN, ABDELMONIEM I		
P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			2182	
				DATE MAILED: 10/07/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/773,942

Applicant(s)

Hoskins

Examiner

Abdelmoniem Elamin

Art Unit **2182**



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
	or Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the							
mailing	date of this communication.						
- If NO p - Failure - Any re	eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (6) ne application to becom	MONTHS from ABANDO	om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jan 31, 2</u>	001		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) \square This act	ion is non-final					
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance eclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex pair	•		•			
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1-31			is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 💢	Claim(s) <u>7-22</u>			is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1, 3, 5, 6, and 23-31			is/are rejected.			
7) 💢	Claim(s) 2 and 4			is/are objected to.			
8) 🗆	Claims	are	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	tion Papers						
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) accepte	d or b)	objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be he	d in abey	rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is:	a) 🗆 aı	pproved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office ac	tion.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 35	U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) 🗀	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:						
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been receive	d.				
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been receive	d in App	lication No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).				
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the						
14)[X]	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under	35 U.S.C	C. § 119(e).			
a) ∟	3 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -						
15)∐	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under	35 U.S.C	C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachm		4) 🗍		412) Paner No/a)			
\sim	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			413) Paper No(s)			
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)4						
74		_					

Application/Control Number: 09/773,942

Art Unit: 2182

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 5-6, 23-26 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Stracovsky, US. Pat. No. 6,587,894.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 6, 23 and 31, Stracovsky teaches a method for selecting an optimal command node in a computing device having an insert queue containing a command node and a sorted queue containing another command node, the method [title, abstract], comprising the steps of;
- a. Determining if the command node in the insert queue collides with the command node in the sorted queue [abstract, col. 3, lines 28-35];
- a.i. if the command node in the insert queue does not collide with the command node in the sorted queue, then moving the command node in the insert queue from the insert queue to the sorted queue [abstract, col. 3, lines 10-44];

Application/Control Number: 09/773,942 Page 3

Art Unit: 2182

a.ii. if the command node in the insert queue collides with the command node in the sorted queue, then correcting the collision [abstract, col. 3, lines 10-44]; and

- b. Sorting the sorted queue according to a predetermined routine to determine an optimal command node and selecting the optimal command node [abstract, col. 3, lines 14-19].
- 4. Claim 5, Stracovsky teaches the act of moving the command node in the insert queue to the sorted queue comprises extracting the command node from the insert queue and inserting it into the sorted queue [col. 3, lines 10 -45].
- 5. Claims 24-26, Stracovsky the sorted queue includes only non-colliding command nodes [col. 3, lines 24-28].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stracovsky, US. Pat. No. 6,587,894.
- 8. Claims 27-30, Stracovsky fails to teach the each of the queues is structured as a doubly-linked list.

However, double-linked list drop-off queues, are old and well known in the art.

Application/Control Number: 09/773,942

order to determine the location of the removed item.

Page 4

Art Unit: 2182

It would have been obvious to modify the teachings of Stracovsky to include each of the queues is structured as a doubly-linked list, because any item on the queue can easily be removed from the queue without linearly traversing the whole queue from either the beginning or the end in

Claims 2, 4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any

Allowable Subject Matter

intervening claims.

9.

10. Claims 7-22 are allowed.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Abdelmoniem I. Elamin whose telephone number is (703) 305-3804. The

examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Jeffrey Gaffin, can be reached at (703)308-3301.

Any inquiry of a general nature relating to the status of this application should be directed

to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Art Unit: 2182

Or faxed to:

(703) 746-7239 (Official)

(703) 746-7240 (Non-Official/Draft)

(703) 746-7238 (After-final)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA, Forth Floor (receptionist).

Respectively submitted

Abdelmoniem Elamin

Oct. 1, 2003